IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 22941 of 2000

Between:

1. M.Sridhar, S/o M.Sattaiah, Vasavi College of Engineering, Ibrahimbagth, Hyderabad R/o H.No.1-9-202/E/1/D/1, Ramnagar, Hyderabad.

2. S.Rajanikanth, S/o S.Balakrishna, Vasavi College of Engineering, Ibrahimbagh, Hyderabad, R/o H.No.3-5-529, Vittalvadi, Narayanguda, Hyderabad.

3. B.D.Hemnath Kumar, S/o B.H.Devarathnam, Vasavi College of Engineering, Ibrahimbagh, Hyderabad, R/o.1176, Boggulkunta, Hyderabad.

4. M.Swarnalatha, D/o Suryanarayana, Vasavi College of Engineering, Ibrahimbagh, Hyderabad R/o H.No.6-3-1247/332, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

5. Ch.Punarvasu, S/o Ch.Bhoomaiah, Vasavi College of Engineering, Ibrahimbagh, Hyderabad, R/o H.No.426/F, BHEL, R.C.Puram, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Registrar, Osmanai University, Hyderabad.

2. The Vice Chancellor, Osmania University, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of Mandamus declaring that the petitioners are entitled to be promoted to the III year B.E.Course without taking into

consideration the 1/3rde rule and restricting the backlogs to 8 numbers after duly extending the similar benefits on the basis of the analogy of backlog system for promotion to B.E. III year 1995-1996 to B.E.IV year for 1996-1997 and also promotion of candidates admitted to B.E.Course prior to 1993-1994 from III year to IV year extended to their seniors vide Order No.2082/1/961/Acad/95, dated 20-08-1996 and also No.4353/I/916/96/Acad. Dated 31-10-1997 issued by the Osmania University with all consequential benefits by holding the action of the respondents in not extending similar benefits on par with the seniors who have been given benefit of promotion without restricting the backlogs on par with senior candidates and also not holding the supplemental examinations is as illegal, discriminatory, unjust and subversive of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution and also opposed to all canons of equity, justice and fair play.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Mr.Venu Madhav, Advocate for MR.D.LINGA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.DEEPAK BHATTACHARJEE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Mr.Venu Madhav, representing Mr.D.Linga Rao, learned counsel for petitioners submits that the writ petition has become infructuous. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

15.09.2004.

pln

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Convenor, ECET 2000, Room No.402, Administrative Block, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

2. 2 CCs to the G.P. for Technical Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

3. 2 CD copies.